

TC_Adhoc@FIPA25

Scope: Enhance interoperability between FIPA agents operating in ad-hoc environments

Chair: Michael Berger, Siemens Co-Chair: Heikki Helin, Sonera





Problem statement

- In an ad-hoc network, devices use wireless technologies to communicate in a peer-to-peer (i.e., device-to-device) fashion. Thus, agents on two mobile devices, originally created on different platforms, need to discover each other and build dynamically ad-hoc compounds which allow each agent to communicate with the other.
- Because of limited memory resources, the device may not be able to host all functionalities requested by FIPA. Therefore, the management of agents and their communication under these constraints must be handled.



- Definition of possible agent platform fragments, which can form dynamically a compound.
- Definition of mechanisms and protocols for agent platform fragments to build, release, join and leave compounds.
- Usage of existing approaches which provide support on different levels (e.g., Bluetooth ad-hoc networks, JXTA P2P approach).

TC Ad-hoc History and Goals FIPA24 (Feb. 2002, Lausanne) Discussion of ad-hoc proposal to 2nd CfT of TC Gateways TC Ad-hoc started, accepted workplan f-wp-00020 (16 month) program of work) TC Ad-hoc 1st CfT published • FIPA25 (May 2002, Vancouver) Presentation and discussion of technical contributions to 1st CfT of TC Ad-hoc • FIPA27 (Oct. 2002) Deliver first draft of preliminary specification • FIPA29 (April 2003) Making specification as experimental

fipa

TC Ad-hoc meetings at FIPA25

- Monday, 14:00 18:00, Oak1
- Tuesday, 9:00 12:30, Oak1
- Thursday, 14:00 18:00, Oak1
- 4+1 technical proposals as result of 1st CfT:
 - Olga Ratsimor et al., UMBC, Baltimore
 - Jamie Lawrence; MLE Media Lab Europe, Dublin
 - M. Berger and M. Watzke; Siemens AG, Munich (reviewed draft from FIPA24)
 - Celeste Campo; Universidad Carlos III de Madrid
 - Makoto Okada, Fujitsu

Proposal from UMBC

- Lightweight version of mandatory platform on each device
- Introducing new elements to establish "alliances"
 - Cache: storing advertisements from other platforms
 - Advertisement: broadcast announcements to neighborhout
 - Forwarder: forwarding announces
 - Policy manager: device / application announcing and caching
- Discovery protocol: first ask DF, than ask Cache, *cast a request

Discussion:

- Solution on how to alliance -> Announcement broadcasting and policy dependent caching
- Cache Manager in additon to existing DF
- Advertisement as agent or AMS change -> not decided
- Caching: explicite services / agents or DF addresses
- Should the definition of alliances go to FIPA? -> not decidered 25, TC Ad-hoc



Proposal from MLE, Dynamic Interaction Group

• Assumptions:

- Use any exsiting service discovery protocol
- Discover and maintain routes for message transport; may provides multi-hop routes
- Small ad-hoc nodes (resource contraints, mostly host a single agent)
- Proposed changes
 - remove AMS and DF as mandatory
 - Introduce a Discovery Agent
 - Leased directory entries in AMS and DF
 - notifications from AMS and DF upon directory updates
 - addition of a lite-AMS

fjPa

Proposal from MLE, Dynamic Interaction Group

- Platform: current FIPA definition
- Fragment?
- liteAMS: agent and platform management
 - -> Agent management will always be needed, white pages directory is not needed -> lite AMS for management only

Discovery Agent

- replace the DF at each fragment (advertising directories and agents)
- discovers other fragments and their agents
- notifies local agents of discovered directories and agents
- controls local activation of DF and AMS



Proposal from MLE, Dynamic Interaction Group

Fragment becomes a Platform

- DA starts AMS and DF (when device capable, DF required)
- DA registers all agents with the AMS
- Lite AMS will shutdown? Suspend? Sync? Remain?

Proposal University of Madrid

1.) DF fragment on each device

2.) Analysis of service discovery in the fixed network: SLP: Service Location Protocol, IETF

User Agent for service discovery Service Agents advertising their location and attributes Directory Agents store information about services Operation modes:

a) DA collects service info, UA unicasts the requests to DA

b) no DA, UAs repetedly multicast their request, SAs listen to theses requests, unicast responses to UA

Jini: Sun, HW and SW are Java Objects DA (Jini Lookup Service, lease times, ...), SAs announce services to DA by unicast, UAs request DA

S

Proposal University of Madrid

Salutation: focus is large sets of devices and services DA (Salutation Manager), discovery for applications, services, and devices

SSDP: for UPnP, minimal protocol for multicast-based discovery Optional DA (Service Directory) SAs send announcement message by multicast or broadcast Alternatively, announcement by unicast to DA Discovery by asking the DA or multicast request message

• Problems wih all these proposals:

- central server for mobile adhoc networks not suitable
- use of multicast or broadcast is power consuming

S

F Proposal University of Madrid

- 3.) Introduction of new Service Discovery Protocol (PDP)• push and pull solutions
- DEAPspace algorithm
 - push solution, serivices will be broadcasted
 - every device has DA, knows all services arround
- Goal of PDP: devices announce its services only when others request that service -> broadcast to all devices which are interested on new services
- each device has list of services and timeout of availability
 PDP request PDP reply
- PDP request, PDP reply

S

Proposal from Siemens + FIPA24

- Announcing
 - Broadcast of an ACL-based agent platform announcement message
 - Broadcast based on HTTPMU protocol (UDP Multicast of HTTP messages)
 - Specified by UPnP Forum Technical Committee
 - Message in a single UDP packet
 - ACL message and envelope represented in XML (FIPA XC00071, FIPA XC00085 specifications)

Dissolution

- Try and error (just find out the disconnection in case of trying to use a service from another platform); deregister the local DF entries referring to the remote DF
- Define a clear defederation by a special message as optional polite way to a single platform or to all (optional single inform)

Proposal Fujitsu (made during meeting)

- 1st step: search for device
 - Usage of UDP
 - polling on fixed port number
 - URI = machine name + connport + IP
 - Usage of URI 020
 - Gnutella uses 80
 - WinMX uses 96
 - the devices must have a known IP address already
- 2nd step
 - send query, getting description file back
 - every device has a cache storing the information



Discussion at FIPA25

FIPA25, TC Ad-hoc

FIR Discussion

• Proposals on different levels

• -> Structure and order proposals needed, common view

Siemens	UMBC	MLE		
Components on device:				
DF?, AMS	DF (+cache), AMS	DA, AMS-lite		
		(DF), AMS, DA		
Service discovery:				
DA on AMS	Protocol,	DA		
	Advertisements			
Platform discovery; underlying mechanism (acc address):				
Broadcast	Broadcast/caching	?		
HTTPmu/UDP		JXTA,		
Add-ons:				
	Policies			

Comparison/Common things in the proposals:

S



• -> First architecture definition

ACL Discovery

Physical

Caching, profiling,				
Proactive (broadcast)		Reactive (DA)		
SDP	JXTA, Jini, UpnP,			
	Httpmu, broadcast,			
BT	IP		IrDA	
	WLAN	LAN		

FIPA25, TC Ad-hoc



• Library of discovery protocols:

- Need to specify an "interface" to a set of discovery protocols
 - E.g., service number in BT, some text information in SLP, etc.
- At agent level we shouldn't consider (at all) how the actual platform and service discovery is done / implemented

Discussion about setting up a DF federation

- 4 possibilities identified at FIPA24: (1) asking for other DFs, (2) announcing my DF, (3) announcing my DF, getting some back, and (4) asking directly for a service by broadcast (CFP for all)
- Discussion about optional DF
 - DF definitely not needed in all platforms; agents in a platform w/o DF can use remote DF to announce their services/search other services -> Decision from TC X2S: DF optional!! FIPA25, TC Ad-hoc



Results

- Two separate issues:
 - definition of fragments and compounds
 - service and platform discovery (take in consideration that DF is optional now!!)
- Differentiate between platform and service discovery
 Goal: deal with service discovery, hide platform discovery
- Decision: we discuss service discovery at the agent level
- Don't care for multi-hop sending of messages
- Writing a white paper about possible solutions handling agents ad-hoc environments

White Paper content and responsibilities

- 0.) Device discovery (BT, WLAN, IrDA)
- 1.) Platform discovery
 - a) ACC / platform description (<URL>, <serviceID>,
 <JiniServiceID>) every, according to every mechanism in 1b)
 - b) how can we use the following discorvery mechanisms:
 - UDP (HTTPMU, own) FJ, Sie
 - BT UMBC
 - Jini MLE
 - UpNP FJ
 - JXTA MLE
 - Salutation Son
 - Routing / MANET MLE
 - SCP / SSDP / Chord Sie
 - all others all



Document

- 2.) Service discovery (on agent level)
- 2a) message format Son + all
 - Definiton of ACL broadcast / multicast (+ implications to X2S)
 - pull; push, subscribe (just one predicate?)
- 2b) Infrastructure Sie + all
 - DA, policy manager, AMSlite, ontology, ACC ?...
 - Discover Service (e.g. DF), or Agent or just a protocol, leasing
 - Definition of fragments
 - Implications to X2S
- 3.) caching, profiling / policy, security (hand over to WG security) UMBC, QML
- -> 24.5.02 template Siemens; 12.7.02 chapter 1, 2, 3 optional



FIPA25, Adhoc attendees

- Jamie Lawrence, MLE, jamiel@mle.media.mit.edu
- Olga Ratsimor, UMBC, oratsi2@cs.umbc.edu
- Heimo Laamanen, Sonera, Heimo.Laamanen@sonera.com
- James Odell, JOA, email@jamesodell.com
- Kari Koivuniemi, Minutor, kari.koivuniemi@minutor.fi
- Heikki Helin, Sonera, heikki.helin@sonera.com
- Bobbin Teegarden, Bot Inc., teegs@earthlink.net
- Makoto Okada, Fujitsu Labs, okadamkt@flab.fujitsu.co.jp
- Michael Berger, Siemens AG, m.berger@siemens.com
- Stefan Poslad, QueenMaryUnivLondon, Stefan.Poslad@elec.qmul.ac.uk