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Foreword

The Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) is an international organization that is dedicated to promoting the industry of intelligent agents by openly developing specifications supporting interoperability among agents and agent-based applications. This occurs through open collaboration among its member organizations, which are companies and universities that are active in the field of agents. FIPA makes the results of its activities available to all interested parties and intends to contribute its results to the appropriate formal standards bodies where appropriate.

The members of FIPA are individually and collectively committed to open competition in the development of agent-based applications, services and equipment. Membership in FIPA is open to any corporation and individual firm, partnership, governmental body or international organization without restriction. In particular, members are not bound to implement or use specific agent-based standards, recommendations and FIPA specifications by virtue of their participation in FIPA.

The FIPA specifications are developed through direct involvement of the FIPA membership. The status of a specification can be either Preliminary, Experimental, Standard, Deprecated or Obsolete. More detail about the process of specification may be found in the FIPA Document Policy [f-out-00000] and the FIPA Specifications Policy [f-out-00003]. A complete overview of the FIPA specifications and their current status may be found on the FIPA Web site.

FIPA is a non-profit association registered in Geneva, Switzerland. As of June 2002, the 56 members of FIPA represented many countries worldwide. Further information about FIPA as an organization, membership information, FIPA specifications and upcoming meetings may be found on the FIPA Web site at http://www.fipa.org/.
1 Scope

This specification defines a concrete syntax for the FIPA Semantic Language (SL) content language. This syntax and its associated semantics are suggested as a candidate content language for use in conjunction with the FIPA Agent Communication Language (see [FIPA00037]). In particular, the syntax is defined to be a sub-grammar of the very general s-expression syntax.
2 Grammar FIPA SL Concrete Syntax

This content language is denoted by the normative constant fipa-sl in the :language parameter of an ACL message. See Section 6 for an explanation of the used syntactic notation.

Content = "(" ContentExpression+ ").

ContentExpression = IdentifyingExpression
| ActionExpression
| Proposition.

Proposition = Wff.

Wff = AtomicFormula
| "(" UnaryLogicalOp Wff ")"
| "(" BinaryLogicalOp Wff Wff ")"
| "(" Quantifier Variable Wff ")"
| "(" ModalOp Agent Wff ")"
| "(" ActionOp ActionExpression ")"
| "(" ActionOp ActionExpression Wff ")".

UnaryLogicalOp = "not".

BinaryLogicalOp = "and"
| "or"
| "implies"
| "equiv".

AtomicFormula = PropositionSymbol
| "(" BinaryTermOp TermOrIE TermOrIE ")"
| "(" PredicateSymbol TermOrIE+ ")"
| "true"
| "false".

BinaryTermOp = "="
| "result".

Quantifier = "for all"
| "exists".

ModalOp = "B"
| "U"
| "PG"
| "I".

ActionOp = "feasible"
| "done".

TermOrIE = Term
| IdentifyingExpression.

Term = Variable
| FunctionalTerm
| ActionExpression
| Constant
| Sequence
| Set.

IdentifyingExpression = "(" ReferentialOperator TermOrIE Wff ").

\^Note that this grammar rule is used to group and represent both Terms and Identifying Expressions.
ReferentialOperator = "iota"
| "any"
| "all".

FunctionalTerm = \{" FunctionSymbol TermOrIE* \\
| \{" FunctionSymbol Parameter* \\

Constant = NumericalConstant
| String
| DateTime.

NumericalConstant = Integer
| Float.

Variable = VariableIdentifier.

ActionExpression = \{" "action" Agent TermOrIE \\
| \{" |
| \{ "| ActionExpression ActionExpression \\
| \{" |
| ActionExpression ActionExpression \\

PropositionSymbol = String.

PredicateSymbol = String.

FunctionSymbol = String.

Agent = TermOrIE.

Sequence = \{" "sequence" TermOrIE* \\

Set = \{" "set" TermOrIE* \\

Parameter = ParameterName ParameterValue.

ParameterValue = TermOrIE.

2.1 Lexical Definitions

All white space, tabs, carriage returns and line feeds between tokens should be skipped by the lexical analyser. See Section 6 for an explanation of the used notation.

String = Word
| ByteLengthEncodedString
| StringLiteral.

ByteLengthEncodedString = "#" DecimalLiteral+ "\" <byte sequence>.

Word = [- "\0x00" - "\0x20", "(", ",", ",", ",", ",", ",", "-", ","]
| [- "\0x00" - "\0x20", "(", ",")*.

ParameterName = ":" String.

VariableIdentifier = "?” String.

Sign = [ "+", "-" ].

Integer = Sign? DecimalLiteral+
| Sign? "0" ["x", "X"] HexLiteral+.

Dot = ".".

Float = Sign? FloatMantissa FloatExponent?
| Sign? DecimalLiteral+ FloatExponent.  
| FloatMantissa  = DecimalLiteral+ Dot DecimalLiteral*  
  | DecimalLiteral* Dot DecimalLiteral+.  
| FloatExponent  = Exponent Sign? DecimalLiteral+.  
| Exponent  = ["e","E"].  
| DecimalLiteral  = ["0" - "9"].  
| HexLiteral  = ["0" - "9", "A" - "F", "a" - "f"].  
| StringLiteral  = "\""( [~ """]  
  | "\\\"" )*"".  
| DateTime  = Sign? Year Month Day "T" Hour Minute  
  | Second MilliSecond TypeDesignator?.  
| Year  = DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral.  
| Month  = DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral.  
| Day  = DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral.  
| Hour  = DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral.  
| Minute  = DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral.  
| Second  = DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral.  
| MilliSecond  = DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral DecimalLiteral.  
| TypeDesignator  = ["a" - "z" , "A" - "Z"].
3 Notes on FIPA SL Semantics

This section contains explanatory notes on the intended semantics of the constructs introduced in above.

3.1 Grammar Entry Point: FIPA SL Content Expression

An FIPA SL content expression may be used as the content of an ACL message. There are three cases:

- A proposition, which may be assigned a truth value in a given context. Precisely, it is a well-formed formula (Wff) using the rules described in the Wff production. A proposition is used in the inform communicative act (CA) and other CAs derived from it.

- An action, which can be performed. An action may be a single action or a composite action built using the sequencing and alternative operators. An action is used as a content expression when the act is request and other CAs derived from it.

- An identifying reference expression (IRE), which identifies an object in the domain. This is the Referential operator and is used in the inform-ref macro act and other CAs derived from it.

Other valid content expressions may result from the composition of the above basic cases. For instance, an action-condition pair (represented by an ActionExpression followed by a Wff) is used in the propose act; an action-condition-reason triplet (represented by an ActionExpression followed by two Wffs) is used in the reject-proposal act. These are used as arguments to some ACL CAs in [FIPA00037].

3.2 Well-Formed Formulas

A well-formed formula is constructed from an atomic formula, whose meaning will be determined by the semantics of the underlying domain representation or recursively by applying one of the construction operators or logical connectives described in the Wff grammar rule. These are:

- (not <Wff>)
  Negation. The truth value of this expression is false if Wff is true. Otherwise it is true.

- (and <Wff0> <Wff1>)
  Conjunction. This expression is true iff well-formed formulae Wff0 and Wff1 are both true, otherwise it is false.

- (or <Wff0> <Wff1>)
  Disjunction. This expression is false iff well-formed formulae Wff0 and Wff1 are both false, otherwise it is true.

- (implies <Wff0> <Wff1>)
  Implication. This expression is true if either Wff0 is false or alternatively if Wff0 is true and Wff1 is true. Otherwise it is false. The expression corresponds to the standard material implication connective Wff0 → Wff1.

- (equiv <Wff0> <Wff1>)
  Equivalence. This expression is true if either Wff0 is true and Wff1 is true, or alternatively if Wff0 is false and Wff1 is false. Otherwise it is false.

- (forall <variable> <Wff>)
  Universal quantification. The quantified expression is true if Wff is true for every value of the quantified variable.

- (exists <variable> <Wff>)

---

If and only if.
Existential quantification. The quantified expression is true if there is at least one value for the variable for which
\( Wff \) is true.

- \((B <agent> <expression>)\)
  Belief. It is true that \( agent \) believes that \( expression \) is true.

- \((U <agent> <expression>)\)
  Uncertainty. It is true that \( agent \) is uncertain of the truth of \( expression \). \( Agent \) neither believes \( expression \) nor its negation, but believes that \( expression \) is more likely to be true than its negation.

- \((I <agent> <expression>)\)
  Intention. It is true that \( agent \) intends that \( expression \) becomes true and will plan to bring it about.

- \((PG <agent> <expression>)\)
  Persistent goal. It is true that \( agent \) holds a persistent goal that \( expression \) becomes true, but will not necessarily plan to bring it about.

- \((feasible <ActionExpression> <Wff>)\)
  It is true that \( ActionExpression \) (or, equivalently, some event) can take place and just afterwards \( Wff \) will be true.

- \((feasible <ActionExpression>)\)
  Same as \((feasible <ActionExpression> true)\).

- \((done <ActionExpression> <Wff>)\)
  It is true that \( ActionExpression \) (or, equivalently, some event) has just taken place and just before that \( Wff \) was true.

- \((done <ActionExpression>)\)
  Same as \((done <ActionExpression> true)\).

### 3.3 Atomic Formula

The atomic formula represents an expression which has a truth value in the language of the domain of discourse. Three forms are defined:

- A given propositional symbol may be defined in the domain language, which is either true or false,
- Two terms may or may not be equal under the semantics of the domain language, or,
- Some predicate is defined over a set of zero or more arguments, each of which is a term.

The FIPA SL representation does not define a meaning for the symbols in atomic formulae: this is the responsibility of the domain language representation and ontology. Several forms are defined:

- \( true \) \( false \)
  These symbols represent the true proposition and the false proposition.

- \( (= Term1 Term2)\)
  \( Term1 \) and \( Term2 \) denote the same object under the semantics of the domain.

Other predicates may be defined over a set of arguments, each of which is a term, by using the \((PredicateSymbol Term+)\) production.
The FIPA SL representation does not define a meaning for other symbols in atomic formulae: this is the responsibility of the domain language representation and the relative ontology.

3.4 Terms

Terms are either themselves atomic (constants and variables) or recursively constructed as a functional term in which a functor is applied to zero or more arguments. Again, FIPA SL only mandates a syntactic form for these terms. With small number of exceptions (see below), the meanings of the symbols used to define the terms are determined by the underlying domain representation.

Note that, as mentioned above, no legal well-formed expression contains a free variable, that is, a variable not declared in any scope within the expression. Scope introducing formulae are the quantifiers (forall, exists) and the reference operators iota, any and all. Variables may only denote terms, not well-formed formulae.

3.5 Referential Operators

3.5.1 iota

- (iota <term> <formula>)

The iota operator introduces a scope for the given expression (which denotes a term), in which the given identifier, which would otherwise be free, is defined. An expression containing a free variable is not a well-formed FIPA SL expression. The expression \((\text{iota } x \ (P \ x))\) may be read as “the \(x\) such that \(P\) [is true] of \(x\)”. The iota operator is a constructor for terms which denote objects in the domain of discourse.

Notice that, unlike a term, an identifying expression can have different interpretations by different agents because its formal definition depends on the KB.

- Formal Definition

A iota expression can only be evaluated with respect to a given theory. Suppose KB is a knowledge base such that \(T (KB)\) is the theory generated from KB by a given reasoning mechanism. Formally, \(ι(τ, φ)=θτ\) iff \(θτ\) is a term that belongs to the set \(Σ=(θτ: θφ∈ T (KB))\) and \(Σ\) is a singleton; or \(ι(τ, φ)\) is undefined if \(Σ\) is not a singleton. In this definition \(θ\) is a most general variable substitution, \(θτ\) is the result of applying \(θ\) to \(τ\), and \(θφ\) is the result of applying \(θ\) to \(φ\). This implies that a failure occurs if no object or more than one object satisfies the condition specified in the iota operator.

If \(ι(τ, φ)\) is undefined then any term, identifying expression or well-formed formula containing \(ι(τ, φ)\) is also undefined.

- Example 1

This example depicts an interaction between agent A and B that makes use of the iota operator, where agent A is supposed to have the following knowledge base KB=\(\{P(A), Q(1, A), Q(1, B)\}\).

(query-ref
 :sender (agent-identifier :name B)
 :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name A))
 :content
 "((iota ?x (p ?x)))"
 :language fipa-sl
 :reply-with query1)

(inform
 :sender (agent-identifier :name A)
 :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name B))
 :content
 " (= (iota ?x (p ?x)) a)"
 :language fipa-sl)
The only object that satisfies proposition $P(x)$ is $a$, therefore, the query-ref message is replied by the inform message as shown.

- **Example 2**
  This example shows another successful interaction but more complex than the previous one.

```fipa-sl
(query-ref
  :sender (agent-identifier :name B)
  :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name A))
  :content
    "((iota ?x (q ?x ?y)))"
  :language fipa-sl
  :reply-with query2)
```

```fipa-sl
(inform
  :sender (agent-identifier :name A)
  :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name B))
  :content
    "((= (iota ?x (q ?x ?y)) 1))"
  :language fipa-sl
  :in-reply-to query2)
```

The most general substitutions $\theta$ such that $\theta Q(x, y)$ can be derived from KB are $\theta_1=\{x/1, y/A\}$ and $\theta_2=\{x/1, y/B\}$. Therefore, the set $\Sigma=\{\theta_1: \theta_1 \in T(KB)\}=\{\{x/1, y/A\}, \{x/1, y/B\}\}=\{1\}$ is a singleton and hence $(iota ?x (q ?x ?y))$ represents the object 1.

- **Example 3**
  Finally, this example shows an unsuccessful interaction using the $\iota$ operator. In this case, agent A cannot evaluate the $\iota$ expression and therefore a failure message is returned to agent B

```fipa-sl
(query-ref
  :sender (agent-identifier :name B)
  :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name A))
  :content
    "((iota ?y (q ?x ?y)))"
  :language fipa-sl
  :reply-with query3)
```

```fipa-sl
(failure
  :sender (agent-identifier :name A)
  :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name B))
  :content
    "((action (agent-identifier :name A)
      (inform-ref
        :sender (agent-identifier :name A)
        :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name B))
        :content
          "((iota ?y (q ?x ?y)))"
          :language fipa-sl
          :in-reply-to query3)))"
    :language fipa-sl
    :in-reply-to query3)
```

The most general substitutions that satisfy $Q(x, y)$ are $\theta_1=\{x/1, y/a\}$ and $\theta_2=\{x/1, y/b\}$, therefore, the set $\Sigma=\{\theta: \theta \in T(KB)\}=\{\{x/1, y/A\}, \{x/1, y/B\}\}=\{A, B\}$, which is not a singleton. This means that the $\iota$ expression used in this interaction is not defined.
3.5.2 Any

• (any <term> <formula>)

The any operator is used to denote any object that satisfies the proposition represented by formula.

Notice that, unlike a term, an identifying expression can have different interpretations by different agents because its formal definition depends on the KB.

• Formal Definition

An any expression can only be evaluated with respect to a given theory. Suppose KB is a knowledge base such that T(KB) is the theory generated from KB by a given reasoning mechanism. Formally, any(τ, ϕ)=θτ iff θτ is a term that belongs to the set Σ={θτ: θϕ∈ T(KB)}; or any(τ, ϕ) is undefined if Σ is the empty set. In this definition θ is a most general variable substitution, θτ is the result of applying θ to τ, and θϕ is the result of applying θ to ϕ.

If the set Σ is empty then any term, identifying expression or well-formed formula containing any(τ, ϕ) is undefined.

If the set Σ is not empty, then for any formula ψ containing any(τ, ϕ) let ψ’ be the formula obtained from ψ by replacing any(τ, ϕ) with a variable x (not occurring in ψ) and let s_k be a new Skolem constant. Then ψ is true when {x/s_k}ψ’ element_of T(KB union {τ/s_k}φ), ψ is false when {x/s_k}not(ψ’) element_of T(KB union {τ/s_k}φ), and otherwise ψ is undefined.

In other words if ψ contains any(τ, ϕ), ψ is true if a modified form of ψ obtained by replacing the any expression in it with a new constant s_k can be inferred based on the assumption that phi holds of s_k. ψ is false if not(ψ) inferred in a similar way. This definition is needed to avoid the following contradiction:

\[(\text{implies} \ (\text{and} \ (= \text{Stephen} \ (\text{any} \ ?x \ (\text{fipa-member} \ ?x))) \ (= \text{Farooq} \ (\text{any} \ ?x \ (\text{fipa-member} \ ?x)))) \ (= \text{Stephen} \ Farooq))\]

This definition implies that failures only occur if there are no objects satisfying the condition specified as the second argument of the any operator.

If any(τ, ϕ) is undefined then any term, identifying expression or well-formed formula containing any(τ, ϕ) is also undefined.

• Example 4

Assuming that agent A has the following knowledge base KB={P(A), Q(1, A), Q(1, B)}, this example shows a successful interaction with agent A using the any operator.

(query-ref
 :sender (agent-identifier :name B)
 :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name A))
 :content
 "((any (sequence ?x ?y) (q ?x ?y)))"
 :language fipa-sl
 :reply-with query1)

(inform
 :sender (agent-identifier :name A)
 :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name B))
 :content
 "((= (any (sequence ?x ?y) (q ?x ?y)) (sequence 1 a)))"
 :language fipa-sl
 :in-reply-to query1)
The most general substitutions \( \theta \) such that \( \theta Q(x, y) \) can be derived from \( KB \) are \( \{x/1, y/A\} \) and \( \{x/1, y/B\} \), therefore 
\[ \Sigma = \{\theta \text{Sequence}(x, y) : \theta Q(x, y) \in T(KB)\} = \{\text{Sequence}(1, A), \text{Sequence}(1, B)\} \).
Using this set, agent A chooses the first element of \( \Sigma \) as the appropriate answer to agent B.

### Example 5

This example shows an unsuccessful interaction with agent A, using the `any` operator.

```fipa-sl
(query-ref
 :sender (agent-identifier :name B)
 :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name A))
 :content
 "(((any ?x (r ?x)))")
 :language fipa-sl
 :reply-with query2)
```

```fipa-sl
(failure
 :sender (agent-identifier :name A)
 :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name B))
 :content
 "(((action (agent-identifier :name A)
    (inform-ref
     :sender (agent-identifier :name A)
     :receiver (set (agent-identifier :name B))
     :content
      
    ))
     :language fipa-sl
     :in-reply-to query2))
(unknown-predicate r))"
 :language fipa-sl
 :in-reply-to query2)
```

Since agent A does not know the \( r \) predicate, the answer to the query that had been sent by agent B cannot be determined, therefore a failure message is sent to agent B from agent A. The failure message specifies the failure's reason (that is, `unknown-predicate r`).

### 3.5.3 All

- `(all <term> <formula>)`
  
The all operator is used to denote the set of all objects that satisfy the proposition represented by `formula`.

Notice that, unlike a term, an identifying expression can have different interpretations by different agents because its formal definition depends on the KB.

- **Formal Definition**
  
  An all expression can only be evaluated with respect to a given theory. Suppose \( KB \) is a knowledge base such that \( T(KB) \) is the theory generated from \( KB \) by a given reasoning mechanism. Formally, \( \text{all}(\tau, \phi) = \{\theta \tau : \theta \phi \in T(KB)\} \). Notice that \( \text{all}(\tau, \phi) \) may be a singleton or even an empty set. In this definition \( \theta \) is a most general variable substitution, \( \theta \tau \) is the result of applying \( \theta \) to \( \tau \), and \( \theta \phi \) is the result of applying \( \theta \) to \( \phi \).

  If no objects satisfy the condition specified as the second argument of the all operator, then the identifying expression denotes an empty set.

- **Example 6**
  
  Suppose agent A has the following knowledge base \( KB = \{P(A), Q(1, A), Q(1, B)\} \). This example shows a successful interaction between agent A and B that make use of the all operator.

```fipa-sl
(query-ref
 :sender (agent-identifier :name B)
```
The set of the most general substitutions $\theta$ such that $\theta Q(x, y)$ can be derived from KB is $\{\{x/1, y/A\}, \{x/1, y/B\}\}$, therefore all(Sequence(x, y), Q(x, y))={Sequence(1, A), Sequence(1, B)}.

- Example 7
  Following Example 6, if there is no possible answer to a query making use of the all operator, then the agent should return the empty set.

Since there is no possible substitution for $x$ such that Q(x, C) can be derived from KB, then all(x, Q(x, c))={}. In this interaction the term (set) represents the empty set.

### 3.6 Functional Terms

A functional term refers to an object via a functional relation (referred by the FunctionSymbol) with other objects (that is, the terms or parameters), rather than using the direct name of that object, for example, (fatherOf Jesus) rather than God.

Two syntactical forms can be used to express a functional term. In the first form the functional symbol is followed by a list of terms that are the arguments of the function symbol. The semantics of the arguments is position-dependent, for example, (divide 10 2) where 10 is the dividend and 2 is the divisor. In the second form each argument is preceded by its name, for example, (divide :dividend 10 :divisor 2). The encoder is required to adopt the following criteria to select which form to use in order to represent a functional term. The first form, that is, the position-dependent form, should be used to encode all those functional terms for which the ontology does not specify the names of the parameters (for example, all the functions of the fipa-agent-management ontology). The second form, that is, the parameter-name dependent form, must be used to encode all those functional terms for which the ontology does specify the names of the parameters but not their position (for example, all the object descriptions of the fipa-agent-management ontology). This second form is particularly appropriate to represent descriptions where the function symbol should be interpreted as the constructor of an object, while the parameters represent the attributes of the object.

The following is an example of an object, instance of a vehicle class:
(vehicle
  :colour red
  :max-speed 100
  :owner (Person
    :name Luis
    :nationality Portuguese))

Some ontologies may decide to give a description of some concepts only in one or both of these two forms, that is by specifying, or not, a default order to the arguments of each function in the domain of discourse. How this order is specified is outside the scope of this specification.

Functional terms can be constructed by a domain functor applied to zero or more terms.

3.7 Result Predicate

A common need is to determine the result of performing an action or evaluating a term. To facilitate this operation, a standard predicate result, of arity two, is introduced to the language. result/2 has the declarative meaning that the result of evaluating a term, or equivalently of performing an action, encoded by the first argument term, is the second argument term. However, it is expected that this declarative semantics will be implemented in a more efficient, operational way in any given FIPA SL interpreter.

A typical use of the result predicate is with a variable scoped by iota, giving an expression whose meaning is, for example, "the x which is the result of agent i performing act":

(iota x (result (action i act) x))

3.8 Actions and Action Expressions

Action expressions are a special subset of terms. An action itself is introduced by the keyword action and comprises the agent of the action (that is, an identifier representing the agent performing the action) and a term denoting the action which is [to be] performed.

Notice that a specific type of action is an ACL communicative act (CA). When expressed in FIPA SL, syntactically an ACL communicative act is an action where the agent of the action is the sender of the CA, and the term denotes the CA including all its parameters where the performative should be used as a function symbol, as referred by the used ontology. Example 5 includes an example of an ACL CA, encoded as a String, whose content embeds another CA.

Two operators are used to build terms denoting composite CAs:

- The sequencing operator (;) denotes a composite act in which the first action (represented by the first operand) is followed by the second action, and,
- The alternative operator (|) denotes a composite act in which either the first action occurs, or the second, but not both.

3.9 Notes on the Grammar Rules

1. The standard definitions for integers and floating point are assumed. However, due to the necessarily unpredictable nature of cross-platform dependencies, agents should not make strong assumptions about the precision with which another agent is able to represent a given numerical value. FIPA SL assumes only 32-bit representations of both integers and floating point numbers. Agents should not exchange message contents containing numerical values requiring more than 32 bits to encode precisely, unless some prior arrangement is made to ensure that this is valid.

2. All keywords are case-insensitive.
3. A length encoded string is a context sensitive lexical token. Its meaning is as follows: the message envelope of the token is everything from the leading \# to the separator " (inclusive). Between the markers of the message envelope is a decimal number with at least one digit. This digit then determines that exactly that number of 8-bit bytes are to be consumed as part of the token, without restriction. It is a lexical error for less than that number of bytes to be available.

4. Note that not all implementations of the ACC (see [FIPA00067]) will support the transparent transmission of 8-bit characters. It is the responsibility of the agent to ensure, by reference to internal API of the ACC, that a given channel is able to faithfully transmit the chosen message encoding.

5. Strings encoded in accordance with [ISO2022] may contain characters which are otherwise not permitted in the definition of Word. These characters are ESC (0x1B), SO (0x0E) and SI (0x0F). This is due to the complexity that would result from including the full [ISO2022] grammar in the above EBNF description. Hence, despite the basic description above, a word may contain any well-formed [ISO2022] encoded character, other (representations of) parentheses, spaces, or the \# character. Strings must be enclosed between quote symbols. If the quote symbol itself needs to be part of the String, then it must be escaped by a \ character.

6. The format for time tokens is defined in section 3.10.

7. An agent is represented by its agent-identifier using the standard format from [FIPA00023].

3.10 Representation of Time

Time tokens are based on [ISO8601], with extension for relative time and millisecond durations. Time expressions may be absolute, or relative. Relative times are distinguished by the sign character + or – appearing as the first character in the token. If no type designator is given, the local time zone is then used. The type designator for UTC is the character Z; UTC is preferred to prevent time zone ambiguities. Note that years must be encoded in four digits. As an example, 8:30 am on 15th April, 1996 local time would be encoded as:

19960415T083000000

The same time in UTC would be:

19960415T083000000Z

while one hour, 15 minutes and 35 milliseconds from now would be:

+00000000T011500035
4 Reduced Expressivity Subsets of FIPA SL

The FIPA SL definition given above is a very expressive language, but for some agent communication tasks it is unnecessarily powerful. This expressive power has an implementation cost to the agent and introduces problems of the decidability of modal logic. To allow simpler agents, or agents performing simple tasks, to do so with minimal computational burden, this section introduces semantic and syntactic subsets of the full FIPA SL content language for use by the agent when it is appropriate or desirable to do so. These subsets are defined by the use of profiles, that is, statements of restriction over the full expressive power of FIPA SL. These profiles are defined in increasing order of expressivity as FIPA-SL0, FIPA-SL1 and FIPA-SL2.

Note that these subsets of FIPA SL, with additional ontological commitments (that is, the definition of domain predicates and constants) are used in other FIPA specifications.

4.1 FIPA SL0: Minimal Subset

Profile 0 is denoted by the normative constant fipa-sl0 in the language parameter of an ACL message. Profile 0 of FIPA SL is the minimal subset of the FIPA SL content language. It allows the representation of actions, the determination of the result a term representing a computation, the completion of an action and simple binary propositions. The following defines the FIPA SL0 grammar:

```
Content            = "(" ContentExpression+ ").".
ContentExpression  = ActionExpression
                    | Proposition.
Proposition        = Wff.
Wff                = AtomicFormula
                    | "(" ActionOp ActionExpression ").".
AtomicFormula      = PropositionSymbol
                    | "(" "result" Term Term ")"
                    | "(" PredicateSymbol Term+ ")"
                    | "true"
                    | "false".
ActionOp           = "done".
Term               = Constant
                    | Set
                    | Sequence
                    | FunctionalTerm
                    | ActionExpression.
ActionExpression   = "(" "action" Agent Term ").".
FunctionalTerm    = "(" FunctionSymbol Term* ")"
                    | "(" FunctionSymbol Parameter* ").".
Parameter          = ParameterName ParameterValue.
ParameterValue     = Term.
Agent              = Term.
FunctionSymbol     = String.
PredicateSymbol    = String.
```

Constant        = NumericalConstant
| String
| DateTime.
Set              = "(" "set" Term+ ")."
Sequence        = "(" "sequence" Term+ ")."
NumericalConstant = Integer
| Float.

The same lexical definitions described in Section 2.1 apply for FIPA SL0.

4.2 FIPA SL1: Propositional Form

Profile 1 is denoted by the normative constant fipa-sl1 in the language parameter of an ACL message. Profile 1 of FIPA SL extends the minimal representational form of FIPA SL0 by adding Boolean connectives to represent propositional expressions. The following defines the FIPA SL1 grammar:

Content               = "(" ContentExpression+ ")".
ContentExpression     = ActionExpression
| Proposition.
Proposition           = Wff.
Wff                   = AtomicFormula
| "(" UnaryLogicalOp  Wff ")"
| "(" BinaryLogicalOp Wff Wff ")"
| "(" ActionOp        ActionExpression ")".
UnaryLogicalOp        = "not".
BinaryLogicalOp       = "and"
| "or".
AtomicFormula         = PropositionSymbol
| "(" "result" Term Term ")"
| "(" PredicateSymbol Term+ ")"
| "true"
| "false".
ActionOp              = "done".
Term                  = Constant
| Set
| Sequence
| FunctionalTerm
| ActionExpression.
ActionExpression      = "(" "action" Agent Term ")".
FunctionalTerm       = "(" FunctionSymbol Term+ ")"
| "(" FunctionSymbol Parameter+ ")".
Parameter             = ParameterName ParameterValue.
ParameterValue       = Term.
Agent                 = Term.
FunctionSymbol = String.
PropositionSymbol = String.
PredicateSymbol = String.
Constant = NumericalConstant
| String
| DateTime.
Set = "(* "set" Term* ")".
Sequence = "(* "sequence" Term* ")".
NumericalConstant = Integer
| Float.
The same lexical definitions described in Section 2.1 apply for FIPA SL1.

4.3 FIPA SL2: Decidability Restrictions

Profile 2 is denoted by the normative constant fipa-sl2 in the language parameter of an ACL message. Profile 2 of FIPA SL allows first order predicate and modal logic, but is restricted to ensure that it must be decidable. Well-known effective algorithms exist that can derive whether or not an FIPA SL2 Wff is a logical consequence of a set of Wffs (for instance KSAT and Monadic). The following defines the FIPA SL2 grammar:

Content = "(* ContentExpression+ ")".
ContentExpression = IdentifyingExpression
| ActionExpression
| Proposition.
Proposition = PrenexExpression.
Wff = AtomicFormula
| "(* UnaryLogicalOp Wff ")"
| "(* BinaryLogicalOp Wff Wff ")"
| "(* ModalOp Agent PrenexExpression ")"
| "(* ActionOp ActionExpression ")"
| "(* ActionOp ActionExpression PrenexExpression ")".
UnaryLogicalOp = "not".
BinaryLogicalOp = "and"
| "or"
| "implies"
| "equiv".
AtomicFormula = PropositionSymbol
| "(" "=" TermOrIE TermOrIE ")"
| "(" "result" TermOrIE TermOrIE ")"
| "(" PredicateSymbol TermOrIE+ ")"
| "true"
| "false".
PrenexExpression = UnivQuantExpression
| ExistQuantExpression
| Wff.
UnivQuantExpression = "(* "forall" Variable Wff ")"
| "(* "forall" Variable UnivQuantExpression ")"
| "(* "forall" Variable ExistQuantExpression ").
ExistQuantExpression = "(" "exists" Variable Wff ")"
| "(" "exists" Variable ExistQuantExpression ")".

TermOrIE = Term
| IdentifyingExpression.

Term = Variable
| FunctionalTerm
| ActionExpression
| Constant
| Sequence
| Set.

IdentifyingExpression = "(" ReferentialOp TermOrIE Wff ")."

ReferentialOp = "iota"
| "any"
| "all".

FunctionalTerm = "(" FunctionSymbol TermOrIE* ")"
| "(" FunctionSymbol Parameter* ")".

Parameter = ParameterName ParameterValue.

ParameterValue = TermOrIE.

ActionExpression = "(" "action" Agent TermOrIE ")"
| "(" "|" ActionExpression ActionExpression ")"
| "(" ";" ActionExpression ActionExpression ")".

Variable = VariableIdentifier.

Agent = TermOrIE.

FunctionSymbol = String.

Constant = NumericalConstant
| String
| DateTime.

ModalOp = "B"
| "U"
| "PG"
| "I".

ActionOp = "feasible"
| "done".

PropositionSymbol = String.

PredicateSymbol = String.

Set = "(" "set" TermOrIE* ")."

Sequence = "(" "sequence" TermOrIE* ")".

NumericalConstant = Integer
| Float.

The same lexical definitions described in Section 2.1 apply for FIPA SL2.
The \textit{Wff} production of FIPA SL2 no longer directly contains the logical quantifiers, but these are treated separately to ensure only prefixed quantified formulas, such as:

\begin{verbatim}
(foreall ?x1
 (foreall ?x2
   (exists ?y1
     (exists ?y2
       (Phi ?x1 ?x2 ?y1 ?y2)))))
\end{verbatim}

Where \((\Phi ?x1 ?x2 ?y1 ?y2)\) does not contain any quantifier.

The grammar of FIPA SL2 still allows for quantifying-in inside modal operators. For example, the following formula is still admissible under the grammar:

\begin{verbatim}
(foreall ?x1
 (or
   (B i (p ?x1))
   (B j (q ?x1)))
\end{verbatim}

It is not clear that formulae of this kind are decidable. However, changing the grammar to express this context sensitivity would make the EBNF form above essentially unreadable. Thus, the following additional mandatory constraint is placed on well-formed content expressions using FIPA SL2: Within the scope of an \texttt{SLModalOperator} only closed formulas are allowed, that is, formulas without free variables.
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6 Informative Annex A — Syntax and Lexical Notation

The syntax is expressed in standard EBNF format. For completeness, the notation is given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grammar rule component</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terminal tokens are enclosed in double quotes</td>
<td>&quot;(&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non terminals are written as capitalised identifiers</td>
<td>Expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Square brackets denote an optional construct</td>
<td>[&quot;&quot;, &quot;OptionalArg&quot;]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical bar denotes an alternative</td>
<td>Integer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asterisk denotes zero or more repetitions of the preceding expression</td>
<td>Digit *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus denotes one or more repetitions of the preceding expression</td>
<td>Alpha +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parentheses are used to group expansions</td>
<td>(A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productions are written with the non-terminal name on the left-hand side, expansion on the right-hand side and terminated by a full stop</td>
<td>AnonTerminal = &quot;an expansion&quot;.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: EBNF Rules

Some slightly different rules apply for the generation of lexical tokens. Lexical tokens use the same notation as above, with the exceptions noted in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lexical rule component</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Square brackets enclose a character set</td>
<td>[&quot;a&quot;, &quot;b&quot;, &quot;c&quot;]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dash in a character set denotes a range</td>
<td>[&quot;a&quot; - &quot;z&quot;]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilde denotes the complement of a character set if it is the first character</td>
<td>~ *(&quot;&quot;, &quot;)&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-fix question-mark operator denotes that the preceding lexical expression is optional (may appear zero or one times)</td>
<td>[&quot;0* - &quot;9&quot;]? [&quot;0* - &quot;9&quot;]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Lexical Rules
7 Informative Annex B — ChangeLog

7.1 2002/11/01 - version H by TC X2S

- Entire document: Fixed bugs in the examples, by adding quotes and converting symbols into lower case
- Entire document: Added new non-terminal symbol TermOrIE and replaced all occurrences of Term with TermOrIE
- Page 2, line 72: Added symbol identifying fipa-sl content language
- Page 2, lines 104-112: Removed superfluous binary term operators
- Page 3, lines 139-149: Removed superfluous functional term operators
- Page 3, lines 180-184: Removed superfluous arithmetic operators
- Page 4, line 224: Added optional sign symbol to represent relative time
- Pages 6, lines 342-373: Removed description of superfluous equality operators
- Page 8, line 398: Added note on interpretation of iota identifying expression
- Page 8, line 406: Added note on interpretation of iota identifying expression
- Page 9, line 488: Added note on interpretation of any identifying expression
- Page 9, line 494: Improved the definition of any identifying expression
- Page 9, line 497: Improved the definition of any identifying expression
- Page 10, line 556: Added note on interpretation of all identifying expression
- Page 11, line 619: Added requirement on encoding functional terms
- Page 12, line 639: Removed Table 1 on description of superfluous functional operators
- Page 12, lines 660-662: Removed ambiguity in representing communicative acts in SL
- Page 12, line 664: Added description of the actor of an ACL Message
- Page 13, lines 672-674: Removed section on agent identifiers
- Page 13, lines 375-380: Extended the section on Numerical Constants to incorporate more details on Grammar Rules
- Page 13, lines 682-692: Extended the section on Date and Time Constants to add a description of relative time

7.2 2002/12/03 - version I by FIPA Architecture Board

- Entire document: Promoted to Standard status