

FOUNDATION FOR INTELLIGENT PHYSICAL AGENTS

FIPA Propose Interaction Protocol Specification

Document title	FIPA Propose Interaction Protocol Specification		
Document number	XC00036G	Document source	FIPA TC Communication
Document status	Experimental	Date of this status	2002/11/01
Supersedes	None		
Contact	fab@fipa.org		
Change history	See <i>Informative Annex A — ChangeLog</i>		

© 1996-2002 Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents
<http://www.fipa.org/>
Geneva, Switzerland

Notice

Use of the technologies described in this specification may infringe patents, copyrights or other intellectual property rights of FIPA Members and non-members. Nothing in this specification should be construed as granting permission to use any of the technologies described. Anyone planning to make use of technology covered by the intellectual property rights of others should first obtain permission from the holder(s) of the rights. FIPA strongly encourages anyone implementing any part of this specification to determine first whether part(s) sought to be implemented are covered by the intellectual property of others, and, if so, to obtain appropriate licenses or other permission from the holder(s) of such intellectual property prior to implementation. This specification is subject to change without notice. Neither FIPA nor any of its Members accept any responsibility whatsoever for damages or liability, direct or consequential, which may result from the use of this specification.

21 **Foreword**

22 The Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) is an international organization that is dedicated to promoting the
23 industry of intelligent agents by openly developing specifications supporting interoperability among agents and agent-
24 based applications. This occurs through open collaboration among its member organizations, which are companies and
25 universities that are active in the field of agents. FIPA makes the results of its activities available to all interested parties
26 and intends to contribute its results to the appropriate formal standards bodies where appropriate.

27 The members of FIPA are individually and collectively committed to open competition in the development of agent-
28 based applications, services and equipment. Membership in FIPA is open to any corporation and individual firm,
29 partnership, governmental body or international organization without restriction. In particular, members are not bound to
30 implement or use specific agent-based standards, recommendations and FIPA specifications by virtue of their
31 participation in FIPA.

32 The FIPA specifications are developed through direct involvement of the FIPA membership. The status of a
33 specification can be either Preliminary, Experimental, Standard, Deprecated or Obsolete. More detail about the process
34 of specification may be found in the FIPA Document Policy [f-out-00000] and the FIPA Specifications Policy [f-out-
35 00003]. A complete overview of the FIPA specifications and their current status may be found on the FIPA Web site.

36 FIPA is a non-profit association registered in Geneva, Switzerland. As of June 2002, the 56 members of FIPA
37 represented many countries worldwide. Further information about FIPA as an organization, membership information,
38 FIPA specifications and upcoming meetings may be found on the FIPA Web site at <http://www.fipa.org/>.


39 **Contents**

40	1	FIPA Propose Interaction Protocol	1
41	1.1	Explanation of the Interaction Protocol Flow	1
42	1.2	Exceptions to Interaction Protocol Flow	1
43	2	References	3
44	3	Informative Annex A — ChangeLog	4
45	3.1	2002/11/01 - version G by TC X2S	4

46 1 FIPA Propose Interaction Protocol

47 The FIPA Propose Interaction Protocol (IP) allows an agent to propose to receiving agents that the initiator will do the
 48 actions described in the `propose` communicative act (see [FIPA00037]) when the receiving agent accepts the
 49 proposal.

50
 51 The representation of this IP is given in *Figure 1* which is based on an extension of UML 1.x. [Odell2001]. This protocol
 52 is identified by the token `fipa-propose` as the value of the `protocol` parameter of the ACL message.
 53



54
 55
 56
 57
Figure 1: FIPA Propose Interaction Protocol

58 1.1 Explanation of the Interaction Protocol Flow


59 The Initiator sends a `propose` message to the Participant indicating that it will perform some action if the Participant
 60 agrees. The Participant responds by either accepting or rejecting the proposal, communicating this with the `accept-`
 61 `proposal` or `reject-proposal` communicative act, accordingly. Completion of this IP with an `accept-proposal`
 62 act (see [FIPA00037]) would typically be followed by the performance by the Initiator of the proposed action and then
 63 the return of a status response.

64
 65 Any interaction using this interaction protocol is identified by a globally unique, non-null `conversation-id` parameter,
 66 assigned by the Initiator. The agents involved in the interaction must tag all of its ACL messages with this conversation
 67 identifier. This enables each agent to manage its communication strategies and activities, for example, it allows an
 68 agent to identify individual conversations and to reason across historical records of conversations.
 69

70 1.2 Exceptions to Interaction Protocol Flow

71 At *any* point in the IP, the receiver of a communication can inform the sender that it did not understand what was
 72 communicated. This is accomplished by returning a `not-understood` message. As such, *Figure 1* does not depict a
 73 `not-understood` communication as it can occur at any point in the IP. The communication of a `not-understood`
 74 within an interaction protocol may terminate the entire IP and termination of the interaction may imply that any
 75 commitments made during the interaction are null and void.
 76

77 At any point in the IP, the initiator of the IP may cancel the interaction protocol by initiating the meta-protocol shown in
78 *Figure 2*. The `conversation-id` parameter of the cancel interaction is identical to the `conversation-id` parameter
79 of the interaction that the Initiator intends to cancel. The semantics of cancel should roughly be interpreted as meaning
80 that the initiator is no longer interested in continuing the interaction and that it should be terminated in a manner
81 acceptable to both the Initiator and the Participant. The Participant either informs the Initiator that the interaction is done
82 using an `inform-done` or indicates the failure of the cancellation using a `failure`.
83



84 **Figure 2:** FIPA Cancel Meta-Protocol

85
86
87 This IP is a pattern for a simple interaction type. Elaboration on this pattern will almost certainly be necessary in order to
88 specify all cases that might occur in an actual agent interaction. Real world issues such as the effects of cancelling
89 actions, asynchrony, abnormal or unexpected IP termination, nested IPs, and the like, are explicitly not addressed here.
90

91 **2 References**

92 [FIPA00037] FIPA Communicative Act Library Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.
93 <http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00037/>

94 [Odell2001] Odell, James, Van Dyke Parunak, H. and Bauer, B., *Representing Agent Interaction Protocols in UML*.
95 In: Agent-Oriented Software Engineering, Ciancarini, P. and Wooldridge, M., Eds., Springer, pp. 121-
96 140, Berlin, 2001.
97 <http://www.fipa.org/docs/input/f-in-00077/>
98

99 3 Informative Annex A — ChangeLog

100 3.1 2002/11/01 - version G by TC X2S

- 101 Page 1, Figure 1: The *not-understood* communication was removed
- 102 Page 1, line 42: Reworked and expanded the section description of the IP
- 103 Page 1, line 54: Added a new section on Explanation of Protocol Flow
- 104 Page 1, line 54: Reworked and expanded the section on Exceptions of Protocol Flow to incorporate a meta-
105 protocol for cancel
- 106 Page 1, line 54: Added a paragraph explaining the *not-understood* communication and its relationship with
107 the IP
- 108