

1  
2  
3  
4

# FOUNDATION FOR INTELLIGENT PHYSICAL AGENTS

5  
6  
7

## FIPA ACL Message Representation in XML Specification

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

<b>Document title</b>	FIPA ACL Message Representation in XML Specification		
<b>Document number</b>	SC00071E	<b>Document source</b>	FIPA TC Agent Management
<b>Document status</b>	Standard	<b>Date of this status</b>	2002/12/03
<b>Supersedes</b>	FIPA00024		
<b>Contact</b>	fab@fipa.org		
<b>Change history</b>	See <i>Informative Annex A — ChangeLog</i>		

17 © 1996-2002 Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents  
18 <http://www.fipa.org/>  
19 *Geneva, Switzerland*

### Notice

Use of the technologies described in this specification may infringe patents, copyrights or other intellectual property rights of FIPA Members and non-members. Nothing in this specification should be construed as granting permission to use any of the technologies described. Anyone planning to make use of technology covered by the intellectual property rights of others should first obtain permission from the holder(s) of the rights. FIPA strongly encourages anyone implementing any part of this specification to determine first whether part(s) sought to be implemented are covered by the intellectual property of others, and, if so, to obtain appropriate licenses or other permission from the holder(s) of such intellectual property prior to implementation. This specification is subject to change without notice. Neither FIPA nor any of its Members accept any responsibility whatsoever for damages or liability, direct or consequential, which may result from the use of this specification.

## 20 **Foreword**

21 The Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) is an international organization that is dedicated to promoting the  
22 industry of intelligent agents by openly developing specifications supporting interoperability among agents and agent-  
23 based applications. This occurs through open collaboration among its member organizations, which are companies and  
24 universities that are active in the field of agents. FIPA makes the results of its activities available to all interested parties  
25 and intends to contribute its results to the appropriate formal standards bodies where appropriate.

26 The members of FIPA are individually and collectively committed to open competition in the development of agent-  
27 based applications, services and equipment. Membership in FIPA is open to any corporation and individual firm,  
28 partnership, governmental body or international organization without restriction. In particular, members are not bound to  
29 implement or use specific agent-based standards, recommendations and FIPA specifications by virtue of their  
30 participation in FIPA.

31 The FIPA specifications are developed through direct involvement of the FIPA membership. The status of a  
32 specification can be either Preliminary, Experimental, Standard, Deprecated or Obsolete. More detail about the process  
33 of specification may be found in the FIPA Document Policy [f-out-00000] and the FIPA Specifications Policy [f-out-  
34 00003]. A complete overview of the FIPA specifications and their current status may be found on the FIPA Web site.

35 FIPA is a non-profit association registered in Geneva, Switzerland. As of June 2002, the 56 members of FIPA  
36 represented many countries worldwide. Further information about FIPA as an organization, membership information,  
37 FIPA specifications and upcoming meetings may be found on the FIPA Web site at <http://www.fipa.org/>.

38 **Contents**

39	1	Scope.....	1
40	2	XML ACL Representation .....	2
41	2.1	Component Name .....	2
42	2.2	Syntax.....	2
43	3	References .....	5
44	4	Informative Annex A — ChangeLog.....	6
45	4.1	2002/11/01 - version D by TC X2S.....	6
46	4.2	2002/12/03 - version E by FIPA Architecture Board.....	6

47 **1 Scope**

48 This document deals with message transportation between inter-operating agents and also forms part of the FIPA  
49 Agent Management Specification [FIPA00023]. It contains specifications for:

- 50
- 51 • Syntactic representation of ACL in XML form (see [W3Cxml]).

52

## 53 2 XML ACL Representation

54 This document defines the message transport syntax for an XML based representation of ACL. It should be noted that  
 55 some grammatical information is expressed in the comments of the DTD. These additions are normative aspects of the  
 56 definition even though they are not checked by the XML parser.  
 57

### 58 2.1 Component Name

59 The name assigned to this component is:

60  
 61 fipa.acl.rep.xml.std  
 62

### 63 2.2 Syntax

```

64 <!-- Document Type: XML DTD
65     Document Purpose: Encoding of FIPA ACL messages in XML
66     (see [FIPA00067]) and http://www.fipa.org/)
67     Last Revised: 2002/05/10 -->
68
69 <!-- Possible FIPA Communicative Acts. See [FIPA00037] for a
70     full list of valid performatives. -->
71 <!ENTITY    %communicative-acts
72             "accept-proposal
73             | agree
74             | cancel
75             | cfp
76             | confirm
77             | disconfirm
78             | failure
79             | inform
80             | not-understood
81             | propose
82             | query-if
83             | query-ref
84             | refuse
85             | reject-proposal
86             | request
87             | request-when
88             | request-whenever
89             | subscribe
90             | inform-if
91             | inform-ref
92             | proxy
93             | propagate">
94 <!-- The FIPA message root element, the communicative act is
95     an attribute - see below and the message itself is a list
96     of parameters. The list is unordered. None of the elements
97     should occur more than once except receiver. -->
98 <!ENTITY    %msg-param
99             "receiver
100            | sender
101            | content
102            | language
103            | encoding
104            | ontology
105            | protocol
106            | reply-with
107            | in-reply-to
108            | reply-by
109            | reply-to
            | conversation-id

```

```

110 | user-defined">
111
112 <!ELEMENT fipa-message ( %msg-param; )*>
113
114 <!-- Attribute for the fipa-message - the communicative act itself and
115 the conversation id (which is here so an ID value can be used). -->
116 <!ATTLIST fipa-message act ( %communicative-acts; ) #REQUIRED
117 conversation-id ID #IMPLIED>
118
119 <!ELEMENT sender ( agent-identifier )>
120
121 <!ELEMENT receiver ( agent-identifier+ )>
122
123 <!-- The message content.
124 One can choose to embed the actual content in the message,
125 or alternatively refer to a URI which represents this content. -->
126 <!ELEMENT content ( #PCDATA )>
127 <!ATTLIST content href CDATA #IMPLIED>
128
129 <!-- The content language used for the content.
130 The linking attribute href associated with language can be used
131 to refer in an unambiguous way to the (formal) definition of the
132 standard/fipa content language. -->
133 <!ELEMENT language ( #PCDATA )>
134 <!ATTLIST language href CDATA #IMPLIED>
135
136 <!-- The encoding used for the content language.
137 The linking attribute href associated with encoding can be used
138 to refer in an unambiguous way to the (formal) definition of the
139 language encoding. -->
140 <!ELEMENT encoding ( #PCDATA )>
141 <!ATTLIST encoding href CDATA #IMPLIED>
142
143 <!-- The ontology used in the content.
144 The linking attribute href associated with ontology can be used
145 to refer in an unambiguous way to the (formal) definition of the
146 ontology. -->
147 <!ELEMENT ontology ( #PCDATA )>
148 <!ATTLIST ontology href CDATA #IMPLIED>
149
150 <!-- The protocol element.
151 The linking attribute href associated with protocol can be used
152 to refer in an unambiguous way to the (formal) definition of the
153 protocol. -->
154 <!ELEMENT protocol ( #PCDATA )>
155 <!ATTLIST protocol href CDATA #IMPLIED>
156
157 <!ELEMENT reply-with ( #PCDATA )>
158 <!ATTLIST reply-with href CDATA #IMPLIED>
159
160 <!ELEMENT in-reply-to ( #PCDATA )>
161 <!ATTLIST in-reply-to href CDATA #IMPLIED>
162
163 <!ELEMENT reply-by EMPTY>
164 <!ATTLIST reply-by time CDATA #REQUIRED
165 href CDATA #IMPLIED>
166
167 <!ELEMENT reply-to ( agent-identifier+ )>
168
169 <!ELEMENT conversation-id ( #PCDATA )>
170 <!ATTLIST conversation-id href CDATA #IMPLIED>
171
172 <!ELEMENT agent-identifier ( name,
173 addresses?,

```

```
174                                resolvers?,
175                                user-defined* )>
176
177 <!ELEMENT    name                EMPTY>
178
179 <!-- An id can be used to uniquely identify the name of the agent.
180      The refid attribute can be used to refer to an already defined
181      agent name, avoiding unnecessary repetition. Either the id
182      OR refid should be specified, (both should not be present at the
183      same time). -->
184 <!ATTLIST   name                id ID #IMPLIED
185                                refid IDREF #IMPLIED>
186
187 <!ELEMENT   addresses            ( url+ )>
188
189 <!ELEMENT   url                  EMPTY>
190 <!ATTLIST   url                  href CDATA #IMPLIED>
191
192 <!ELEMENT   resolvers            ( agent-identifier+ )>
193
194 <!ELEMENT   user-defined         ( #PCDATA )>
195 <!ATTLIST   user-defined         href CDATA #IMPLIED>
196
```

197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206

### 3 References

[FIPA00023] FIPA Agent Management Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.  
<http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00023/>

[FIPA00037] FIPA Communicative Act Library Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.  
<http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00037/>

[FIPA00067] FIPA Agent Message Transport Service Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.  
<http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00067/>

[W3Cxml] Extensible Mark-up Language (XML) 1.0 Recommendation. World Wide Web Consortium, 1998.  
<http://www.w3c.org/TR/REC-xml>



207 **4 Informative Annex A — ChangeLog**

208 **4.1 2002/11/01 - version D by TC X2S**

- 209 Page 2, line 63: Improved readability of the XML
- 210 **Page 2, line 86: Extended the `msg-params` definition to allow user-defined fields**
- 211 **Page 2, line 104: Changed the cardinality of `receiver` definition to one or more (+)**
- 212 **Page 3, line 166: Changed the cardinality of `reply-to` definition to one or more (+)**
- 213

214 **4.2 2002/12/03 - version E by FIPA Architecture Board**

- 215 Entire document: Promoted to Standard status
- 216