4

6

9

12

FOUNDATION FOR INTELLIGENT PHYSICAL AGENTS

FIPA Agent Message Transport Envelope Representation in XML Specification

Document title	FIPA Agent Message Transport Envelope Representation in XML Specification		
Document number	XC00085I	Document source	FIPA TC Agent Management
Document status	Experimental	Date of this status	2002/11/01
Supersedes	None		
Contact	fab@fipa.org		
Change history	See Informative Annex C —	ChangeLog	

© 1996-2002 Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents

http://www.fipa.org/

Geneva, Switzerland

Notice

Use of the technologies described in this specification may infringe patents, copyrights or other intellectual property rights of FIPA Members and non-members. Nothing in this specification should be construed as granting permission to use any of the technologies described. Anyone planning to make use of technology covered by the intellectual property rights of others should first obtain permission from the holder(s) of the rights. FIPA strongly encourages anyone implementing any part of this specification to determine first whether part(s) sought to be implemented are covered by the intellectual property of others, and, if so, to obtain appropriate licenses or other permission from the holder(s) of such intellectual property prior to implementation. This specification is subject to change without notice. Neither FIPA nor any of its Members accept any responsibility whatsoever for damages or liability, direct or consequential, which may result from the use of this specification.

Foreword

21

- 22 The Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) is an international organisation that is dedicated to promoting the
- 23 industry of intelligent agents by openly developing specifications supporting interoperability among agents and agent-
- 24 based applications. This occurs through open collaboration among its member organisations, which are companies and
- 25 universities that are active in the field of agents. FIPA makes the results of its activities available to all interested parties
- and intends to contribute its results to the appropriate formal standards bodies where appropriate.
- 27 The members of FIPA are individually and collectively committed to open competition in the development of agent-
- 28 based applications, services and equipment. Membership in FIPA is open to any corporation and individual firm,
- 29 partnership, governmental body or international organisation without restriction. In particular, members are not bound to
- 30 implement or use specific agent-based standards, recommendations and FIPA specifications by virtue of their
- 31 participation in FIPA.
- 32 The FIPA specifications are developed through direct involvement of the FIPA membership. The status of a
- 33 specification can be either Preliminary, Experimental, Standard, Deprecated or Obsolete. More detail about the process
- of specification may be found in the FIPA Document Policy [f-out-00000] and the FIPA Specifications Policy [f-out-
- 35 00003]. A complete overview of the FIPA specifications and their current status may be found on the FIPA Web site.
- 36 FIPA is a non-profit association registered in Geneva, Switzerland. As of June 2002, the 56 members of FIPA
- 37 represented many countries worldwide. Further information about FIPA as an organisation, membership information,
- 38 FIPA specifications and upcoming meetings may be found on the FIPA Web site at http://www.fipa.org/.

Contents

39

1 Scope	1
2 XML Envelope Representation	2
2.1 Component Name	2
2.2 Mime Type	
2.3 Syntax	2
2.5 Representation of Time	4
3 References	5
4 Informative Annex A — Examples	6
5 Informative Annex B — Notes	.10
6 Informative Annex C — ChangeLog	.11
	2 XML Envelope Representation 2.1 Component Name 2.2 Mime Type 2.3 Syntax 2.4 Additional Syntax Rules 2.5 Representation of Time 3 References 4 Informative Annex A — Examples

1 Scope

52 53

54

55 56

57

This document deals with message transportation between inter-operating agents and also forms part of the FIPA Agent Management Specification [FIPA00023]. It contains specifications for:

Syntactic representations of a message envelope in XML form (see [W3Cxml]).

2 XML Envelope Representation

This section gives the concrete syntax for the message envelope specification that must be used to transport messages over a Message Transport Protocol (MTP - see [FIPA00067]). This concrete syntax is designed to complement [FIPA00071] and [FIPA00084].

61 62

63 64

58 59

60

2.1 Component Name

The name assigned to this component is:

```
66 fipa.mts.env.rep.xml.std
```

67

68

69

65

2.2 Mime Type

Where required, the MIME type (see [RFC2046]) of items generated according to this specification is taken to be application/xml. The charset encoding used in this section must conform to [W3Cxml].

70 71

72 73

> 74 75

> 76

77

78

79

80 81 82

83 84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95 96

97 98

99 100

101 102

103 104

105 106

107 108

109 110

111

112

2.3 Syntax

The following DTD specifies the encoding of the abstract FIPA specification as an XML message:

```
<!--
Document Type: XML DTD
Document Purpose: Encoding of FIPA ACL message envelopes (as in [FIPA0067]).
See http://www.fipa.org
Last Revised: 2000-08-16
<!ELEMENT
              envelope
                                      (params+)>
<!ELEMENT
              params
                                      (to?,
                                       from?,
                                       comments?,
                                       acl-representation?,
                                       payload-length?,
                                       payload-encoding?,
                                       date?,
                                       encrypted?,
                                       intended-receiver?,
                                       received?,
                                       user-defined* )>
<!ATTLIST
                                       index CDATA #REQUIRED>
              params
<!ELEMENT
              to
                                      (agent-identifier+)>
<!ELEMENT
              from
                                      (agent-identifier)>
<!ELEMENT
              acl-representation
                                      ( #PCDATA )>
<!ELEMENT
              comments
                                      ( #PCDATA )>
<!ELEMENT
              payload-length
                                      ( #PCDATA )>
<!ELEMENT
              payload-encoding
                                      ( #PCDATA )>
<!ELEMENT
              date
                                      ( #PCDATA )>
              intended-receiver
<!ELEMENT
                                      (agent-identifier+)>
```

```
113
114
115
      <!ELEMENT
                     agent-identifier
116
117
118
119
120
      <!ELEMENT
                     name
121
122
      <!ELEMENT
                     addresses
123
124
      <!ELEMENT
                     url
125
126
                     resolvers
      <!ELEMENT
127
128
                     received
      <!ELEMENT
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
      <!ELEMENT
                     received-by
136
137
      <!ELEMENT
                     received-from
138
139
                     received-date
      <!ELEMENT
140
                     received-date
      <!ATTLIST
141
142
                     received-id
      <!ELEMENT
143
      <!ATTLIST
                     received-id
144
145
      <!ELEMENT
                     received-via
146
      <!ATTLIST
                     received-via
147
148
      <!ELEMENT
                     user-defined
149
      <!ATTLIST
                     user-defined
150
```

2.4 Additional Syntax Rules

151 152

153 154

155 156

157

158 159

160

161 162 163

164

165

166

167

168

169 170

171

The following additional rules not specified in the DTD also apply:

 [FIPA00067] requires that all changes made to a message envelope by one message processing step (for example, handling of the message by a single ACC) be attributable to the message processor that made the changes. This is achieved in the XML envelope by grouping all changes made by one message processor (ACC) at one point in time into a single PARAMS element.

(name,

addresses?, resolvers?,

(#PCDATA)>

(#PCDATA)>

(received-by,

received-from?,

received-date,

received-id?,

received-via?,

user-defined*)>

value CDATA #IMPLIED>

value CDATA #IMPLIED>

value CDATA #IMPLIED>

href CDATA #IMPLIED>

(url+)>

(url)>

(url)>

EMPTY>

EMPTY>

(#PCDATA)>

user-defined*)>

(agent-identifier+)>

- 2. There is no need to add envelope parameter values to a new PARAMS element if the values of these parameters is not being updated. Only parameters whose value is being changed need be included. The meaning of a PARAMS statement containing two elements defining new values for the same envelope parameter is undefined.
- 3. This specification permits multiple occurrences of unique message envelope-level parameters (to, from, intended-receiver, date, acl-representation, payload-length, received transport-behaviour, etc.) in order to handle field value overwriting as specified in [FIPA00067]. To help obtain the latest (and currently valid) value of any parameter, the INDEX attribute of the PARAMS element is used to establish an order of the different occurrences of elements (and hence envelope parameters). The first and oldest occurrence of the element will have an INDEX value of 1, the next value of the field will have INDEX value of 2 and so on.
- 4. When adding a new PARAMS element, the INDEX attribute will have a value with 1 higher than the largest existing INDEX of any PARAMS element currently in the envelope. The first PARAMS element will have the INDEX value of 1.

- 5. The current value of any envelope-level field will be given by the value of the field as it appears in the newest PARAMS element that contains that field.
- 6. The following pseudo code algorithm may be used to obtain the latest values for each of the envelope parameters:

EnvelopeWithAllFields contains now the latest values for all its fields set in the envelope.

7. User-defined fields in the params, agent-identifier and received parameters must be prefixed with "X-".

2.5 Representation of Time

Time tokens are based on [ISO8601], with extension for relative time and millisecond durations. Time expressions may be absolute, or relative. Relative times are distinguished by the sign character + or – appearing as the first character in the token. If no type designator is given, the local time zone is then used. The type designator for UTC is the character z; UTC is preferred to prevent time zone ambiguities. Note that years must be encoded in four digits. As an example, 8:30 am on 15th April, 1996 local time would be encoded as:

```
19960415T083000000
```

The same time in UTC would be:

```
19960415T083000000Z
```

while one hour, 15 minutes and 35 milliseconds from now would be:

```
+0000000T011500035
```

214	214 3 References			
215 216	[FIPA00023]	FIPA Agent Management Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000. http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00023/		
217 218	[FIPA00067]	FIPA Agent Message Transport Service Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000. http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00067/		
219 220	[FIPA00069]	FIPA ACL Message Representation in Bit-Efficient Encoding Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.		
221 222 223	[FIPA00070]	http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00069/ FIPA ACL Message Representation in String Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents,		
224		2000. http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00070/		
225 226	[FIPA00071]	FIPA ACL Message Representation in XML Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.		
227 228 229	[FIPA00075]	http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00071/ Agent Message Transport Protocol for IIOP. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000. http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00075/		
230 231	[FIPA00084]	FIPA Agent Message Transport Protocol for HTTP Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000.		
232 233	[ISO8601]	http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00084/ Date Elements and Interchange Formats, Information Interchange-Representation of Dates and Times.		
234 235	[1000001]	International Standards Organisation, 1998. http://www.iso.ch/cate/d15903.html		
236 237 238	[RFC2046]	Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part Two: Media Types, Freed and Borenstein, November 1996. http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2046.txt		
239 240 241	[W3Cxml]	Extensible Mark-up Language (XML) 1.0 Specification (Recommendation). World Wide Web Consortium, 1998. http://www.w3c.org/TR/REC-xml/		
242				

243244

245 246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265 266

267 268

269 270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277278

279 280

281

282

283

284 285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301 302

303

4 Informative Annex A — Examples

1. Here is a simple example of an envelope conforming to the DTD described in Section 2.3:

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<envelope>
  <params index="1">
    <t.o>
      <agent-identifier>
        <name>receiver@foo.com</name>
        <addresses>
          <url>http://foo.com/acc</url>
        </addresses>
      </agent-identifier>
    </to>
    <from>
      <agent-identifier>
        <name>sender@bar.com</name>
        <addresses>
          <url>http://bar.com/acc</url>
        </addresses>
      </agent-identifier>
    </from>
    <acl-representation>fipa.acl.rep.xml.std</acl-representation>
    <date>20000508T042651481</date>
    <received >
     <received-by value="http://foo.com/acc" />
      <received-date value="20000508T042651481" />
      <received-id value="123456789" />
    </received>
 </params>
</envelope>
```

2. Here is an example which covers all the aspects described in Section 2.3:

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<envelope>
 <params index="1">
  <to>
    <agent-identifier>
      <name>receiver@foo.com</name>
      <addresses>
        <url>http://foo.com/acc</url>
      </addresses>
      <resolvers>
        <agent-identifier>
          <name>resolver@bar.com</name>
          <addresses>
            <url>http://bar.com/acc1</url>
            <url>http://:/bar.com/acc2</url>
            <url>http://bar.com/acc3</url>
          </addresses>
        </agent-identifier>
      </resolvers>
    </agent-identifier>
 </to>
 <from>
    <agent-identifier>
```

```
304
               <name>sender@bar.com</name>
305
               <addresses>
306
                 <url>http://bar.com/acc</url>
307
               </addresses>
308
               <resolvers>
309
                 <agent-identifier>
310
                    <name>resolver@foobar.com</name>
311
                    <addresses>
312
                      <url>http://foobar.com/acc1</url>
313
                      <url>http://foobar.com/acc2</url>
                      <url>http://foobar.com/acc3</url>
314
315
                    </addresses>
316
                 </agent-identifier>
317
               </resolvers>
318
             </agent-identifier>
319
           </from>
320
321
           <comments>No comments!</comments>
322
323
           <acl-representation>fipa.acl.rep.xml.std</acl-representation>
324
325
           <payload-encoding>US-ASCII</payload-encoding>
326
327
           <date>20000508T042651481</date>
328
329
           <intended-receiver>
330
             <agent-identifier>
331
               <name>intendedreceiver@foobar.com
332
               <addresses>
333
                 <url>http://foobar.com/acc1</url>
334
                 <url>http://foobar.com/acc2</url>
335
                 <url>http://foobar.com/acc3</url>
336
               </addresses>
337
               <resolvers>
338
                 <agent-identifier>
339
                    <name>resolver@foobar.com</name>
340
                    <addresses>
341
                      <url>http://foobar.com/acc1</url>
342
                      <url>http://foobar.com/acc2</url>
343
                      <url>http://foobar.com/acc3</url>
344
                    </addresses>
345
                    <resolvers>
346
                      <agent-identifier>
347
                        <name>resolver@foobar.com</name>
348
                        <addresses>
349
                          <url>http://foobar.com/acc1</url>
350
                          <url>http://foobar.com/acc2</url>
351
                          <url>http://foobar.com/acc3</url>
352
                        </addresses>
353
                      </agent-identifier>
354
                    </resolvers>
355
                 </agent-identifier>
356
               </resolvers>
357
             </agent-identifier>
358
           </intended-receiver>
359
360
           <received>
361
             <received-by value="http://foo.com/acc" />
362
             <received-from value="http://foobar.com/acc" />
363
             <received-date value="20000508T042651481" />
364
             <received-id value="123456789" />
365
             <received-via value="http://bar.com/acc" />
366
           </received>
367
           </params>
```

</envelope>

> 376 377

> 378

379

380 381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400 401

402 403

404 405

406 407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414 415

416

417 418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

3. Here is an example which also includes the MIME multipart encapsulation which might be used over HTTP (see [FIPA00084]):

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart-mixed;
      boundary="--251D738450A171593A1583EB"
This is not part of the MIME multipart encoded message.
--251D738450A171593A1583EB
Content-Type: application/xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<envelope>
  <params index="1">
    <to>
      <agent-identifier>
        <name>receiver@foo.com</name>
        <addresses>
          <url>http://foo.com/acc</url>
        </addresses>
      </agent-identifier>
    </to>
    <from>
      <agent-identifier>
        <name>sender@bar.com</name>
        <addresses>
          <url>http://bar.com/acc</url>
        </addresses>
      </agent-identifier>
    </from>
    <acl-representation>fipa.acl.rep.string.std</acl-representation>
    <payload-encoding>US-ASCII</payload-encoding>
    <date>20000508T042651481</date>
    <received >
      <received-by value="http://foo.com/acc" />
      <received-date value="20000508T042651481" />
      <received-id value="123456789" />
    </received>
  </params>
</envelope>1
--251D738450A171593A1583EB
Content-Type: application/text; charset=US-ASCII
(inform
  :sender
    (agent-identifier
      :name sender@bar.com
      :addresses (sequence http://bar.com:80/acc))
  :receiver
    (set (agent-identifier
      :name receiver@foo.com
      :addresses (sequence http://foo.com:80/acc ))))
  :content-length 12
```

¹ CRLF at the end of the XML Envelope.

² CRLF included in the boundary delimiter at the beginning.

5 Informative Annex B — Notes

1. Referencing

 There is no specific reference in the FIPA XML envelope reference to the DTD specified in the in Section 2.3. This is due to the fact that tests have shown that there is no consistent behaviour of most common parser in handling a DOCTYPE specification. The most inconvenient fact is that even in the case of non-validation the parsers are trying to download the DTD from the specified URI.

6 Informative Annex C — ChangeLog

443 6.1 2002/11/01 - version I by TC X2S

444 Entire document: Removed all: from parameter names

445 Entire document: Corrected examples

442

454

446 Entire document: Removed all references to the encrypted parameter
447 Page 2, line 90: Extended params definition to allow user-defined fields

448 Page 3, line 115: Extended agent-identifier definition to allow user-defined fields

449 Page 3, line 130: Extended received definition to allow user-defined fields

Page 3, lines 132-133: Changed type of received-by to url
Page 3, lines 135-136: Changed type of received-from to url

452 Page 4, line 190: Added a rule for prefix string for user-defined fields

453 Page 4, line 191: Fixed the definition of relative time

11